Using Cell Phone for Student Surveys

Eun-Hee Choi Sun-Woong Kim

Survey & Health Policy Research Center Dongguk University



Outline

- Background
- Disadvandages of Web Surveys
- Description of Dongguk University Time Use Survey
- Results
- Conclusions



Background

- Web surveys or traditional mail surveys have been widely used to survey a college population.
- They generally report fairly low response rates that may lead to nonresponse bias.
- For several years we have successfully conducted cell phone surveys for college students in Korea.
- We show that cell phone surveys may have high response rates.



Disadvandage of Web Surveys

- Web surveys do not appear to offer an antidote for the declining response rates affecting all modes of survey data collection.
- Web surveys appear to be at a disadvantage relative to other modes in a recent meta-analysis (Lozar Manfreda et al. 2008)
- Web respondents returned their questionnaire more quickly, but response rate of web survey is lower than mail survey (Kwak et al. 2002)



Dongguk University Time Use Survey

- Sponsor: Dongguk University, South Korea
- Collector: Survey & Health Policy Research Center, Dongguk University
- Purpose: To guide policy by investigating students' time use at home or school, and how this relates to their curriculum and classes
- Year Started: 2005
- Frequency: Conducted annually
- Target Population: Undergraduate students registered in the second semester
- Sampling Frame: A list of registered students



Survey Schedule

Year	Survey Period
2009	2009.11.02~11.15 (14 days)
2010	2010.11.09~11.26 (18 days)
2011	2011.11.02~11.18 (17days)



Survey Questions

- Total number of questions: 48(2009), 49(2010), 52(2011)
- Categories
 - Student Information: Gender, grade, and age
 - School Life: Double major or minor, GPA, average hours for study per day, average hours of homework per day, secondary school certification program and graduate school
 - Personal Life: Type of residence, travel time to school, hours of sleep per night, average reading per month, expenditures, part-time jobs and club activities
 - Foreign Language: Attending private institutes for learning foreign languages, language studies abroad, foreign languages studied abroad
 - Satisfaction: Satisfaction with school, major, offered courses, consultation and courses taught in English
 - Others: Smoking, tuition, height and weight etc.



Sampling and Contact Procedures

• Response Rate (RR), Cooperation Rate (COOP) and Refusal Rate (REF)

	2009	2010	2011
Complete Interview (I)	290	403	223
Refusal and break off (R)	31	56	31
Noncontact (NC)	45	16	36
Partial Interview (P)	44	25	101
RR5	0.67	0.81	0.56
RR6	0.78	0.86	0.82
COOP3	0.79	0.83	0.63
COOP4	0.92	0.88	0.91
REF3	0.07	0.11	0.08

Complete Interview: Interview without item nonresponse

Refusal: Refusal in cell phone or no answer in emails

Noncontact: Cell phone number not in service or not picking up the cell phone or

dormant emails or email addresses with fatal errors

Partial Interview: Interview with at least one item nonresponse

Completed Interviews and Margin of Error

	2009	2010	2011
Number of Completed Interviews	334	428	324
Margin of Error (Satisfied with Major)	0.1	0.09	0.09
Margin of Error (Part-Time Jobs)	0.05	0.04	0.05



Population and Sample Distributions

Gender

	2009		2010		2011	
	Population	Sample	Population	Sample	Population	Sample
Male	54.7	54.8	55.1	51.6	55.1	56.8
Female	45.3	45.2	44.9	48.4	44.9	43.2
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100



Population and Sample Distributions (Cont.)

Grade

	2009		2010		2011	
	Population	Sample	Population	Sample	Population	Sample
Freshman	24.5	23.1	22.5	20.7	22.8	22.8
Sophomore	24.6	25.4	23.2	27.2	22.4	21.9
Junior	25.7	26.9	26.1	25.0	24.7	21.0
Senior	25.2	24.6	28.2	27.1	30.1	34.3
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100



Selected Examples

• Double major of minor

	2009		2010		2011	
	Frequency	%(True value)	Frequency	%(True value)	Frequency	%(True value)
YES	66	19.3(20.8)	85	20.1(20.8)	52	16.6(19.5)
NO	268	80.7	343	79.9	272	83.4
Total	334	100	428	100	324	100

• Secondary school certification program

	2009		2010		2011	
	Frequency	%(True value)	Frequency	%(True value)	Frequency	%(True value)
YES	39	11(11.5)	42	11.1 (10.7)	27	10.8(9.7)
NO	295	89	386	88.9	297	89.2
Total	334	100	428	100	324	100



• Average study hour per day

	2009		201	2010		.1
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
Almost do not study	24	7.3	34	8.2	39	12.2
1	77	23	105	24.9	72	22.7
2	107	31.8	119	27.5	105	32.2
3	63	19	82	19	51	15.5
4	40	12	35	8.4	23	7.1
5	11	3.3	22	4.8	17	5.3
6 or more	12	3.6	31	7.2	17	5
Total	334	100	428	100	324	100



• Average reading per month (not for academic study)

	2009		201	2010		.1
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
Almost do not study	85	25.4	90	20.6	83	25.9
1	103	30.6	112	26	102	31.2
2	62	18.8	110	26.3	72	22.1
3	38	11.4	45	10.1	25	7.7
4	17	5.1	26	6.1	18	5.5
5	8	2.4	20	4.9	15	4.6
6 or more	21	6.3	25	6	9	3
Total	334	100	428	100	324	100



• Part-time jobs

	2009		201	2010		2011	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	
YES	87	26	131	30.9	92	28.6	
NO	247	74	297	69.1	232	71.4	
Total	334	100	428	100	324	100	

Smoking

	2009		2010	2010		2011	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	
YES	73	22.2	87	20.4	59	18.6	
NO	260	77.8	341	79.6	265	81.4	
Total	333	100	428	100	324	100	



Height

	2009	2010	2011
	Average	Average	Average
MALE	175.4	176.7	176.3
FEMALE	162.7	162.7	162.6

Weight

	2009	2010	2011
	Average	Average	Average
MALE	67.4	69.8	68.6
FEMALE	51.7	51.7	50.9



Measurement Error

• Secondary school certification program

	2009		2010	0	2011	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
TRUE	328	98.2	424	99.1	324	100
FALSE	6	1.8	4	0.9	0	0
Total	334	100	428	100	324	100



• Double major or minor

	2009		201	2010		2011	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	
TRUE	323	96.7	408	95.3	324	100	
FALSE	11	3.3	20	4.7	0	0	
Total	334	100	428	100	324	100	



• GPA (to 2 decimal places)

	2009		201	0	2011	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
TRUE	97	29.22	75	18.2	75	23.15
FALSE	235	70.78	337	81.8	249	76.85
Total	332	100	412	100	324	100



• GPA (to 1 decimal places)

	2009		201	0	2011	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
TRUE	147	44.28	187	45.4	131	40.43
FALSE	185	55.72	225	54.6	193	59.57
Total	332	100	412	100	324	100



• GPA (without decimal)

	2009		201	0	2011	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
TRUE	260	78.31	346	84	242	74.69
FALSE	72	21.69	66	16	82	25.31
Total	332	100	412	100	324	100



Item Nonresponse



	No. of Item Nonresponse					
	20	009	2010		2011	
GPA	7(2.1%)		11(2.6%)		25(6.2%)	
Height	1(0.3%)		3(0.7%)		4(1.2%)	
Weight	4(1.2%)		17(4.0%)		20(6.2%)	
Total	334	100	428	100	324	100

Closed-Ended Questions

There were very few missing data in the 2009 and 2010, but there were 10 items missed in the 2011 survey.



Conclusions

- We confirmed that cell phone surveys for students may have high response rates.
- The distributions between population and sample for some variables are very similar during the three years.
- We showed that they have some advantages in terms of coverage of domains and measurement error.
- Cell phone surveys would be one of the most efficient data collection modes.



THANK YOU!

Contact at: skyangel5240@dongguk.edu