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## Research Background

- Many surveys have relied on data collection methods such as telephone (landline or cell) interviews and Web surveys.
- Much of the research on those modes comes from the USA or Western Europe.
- To what extent to the findings apply to other countries, especially those in Asia where both cell phone and Internet penetration is high?
- We analyze data from comparable surveys on information and communication technology (ICT) adoption and use in the USA and South Korea.


## Description of Two National Surveys

## Broadband Service Capability Survey (USA)

- Sponsor: Federal Communications Commission
- Collector: Princeton Survey Research Associates International
- Purpose: To understand the state of broadband adoption and use, as well as barriers facing those who do not have broadband at home
- Target Population: Adult household population
- Sample Design: Random digit dialing (RDD) landline sample and RDD cell sample
- Sample Size: 5,005 adults 18 or over
- Mode of Administration: Telephone and cell phone survey


## Description of Two National Surveys (Cont.)

## Survey on the Internet Usage (Korea)

- Sponsor: Korea Communications Commission
- Collector: Korea Internet \& Security Agency
- Purpose: To provide reliable statistical information that can be widely used for governmental policies, business strategies, and academic research activities by systematically analyzing the rapidly changing Internet usage environment and behaviors.
-Target Population: Adult household population
- Sample Design: Multi-stage stratified sampling with clusters
- Sample Size: 29,928 adults 18 or over
- Mode of Administration: Face-to-face interviews


## Description of Two National Surveys (Cont.)

- An Example of Comparable Questions: Household ownership of computer at home


## Korea

Do you have ICT devices at home regardless of whether it is used? Please write down the number of devices you have?

```
Desktop computer
Laptop computer
```

$\qquad$

``` units
```

USA

How many working computers, both desktops and laptops, are there in your household?

## Description of Two National Surveys (Cont.)

- An Example of Comparable Questions: The services that use through the cell phone

Korea
Please select all the services that you use through the cell phone.


USA
Please tell me if you ever use your cell phone to send or receive text messages.
Yes
No

## Description of Two National Surveys (Cont.)

- List of Comparable 37 Questions

| Community type | Household ownership of landline |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of household members | Internet access via computer or cell phone at home |
| Sex | All or almost all calls received on a cell phone |
| Age | Some received on a cell phone and some on a regular home <br> phone |
| Education | Very few or none received on a cell phone |
| Employment status | Not ever used a cell phone |
| Household income | Sending/receiving text message using a cell phone |
| Marriage | Sending/receiving photo or video using a cell phone |
| Household ownership of computer at home | Downloading/streaming music or streaming video using a cell <br> phone |
| Household ownership of cell phone | Getting information in daily life via the Internet |

## Description of Two National Surveys (Cont.)

## - List of Comparable 37 Questions (Cont.)

| Not ever used the Internet | Downloading or listening to online music via the Internet |
| :--- | :--- |
| The first use of Internet | Playing or downloading computer or video games via the <br> Internet |
| Internet access at home | Downloading or streaming video films via the Internet |
| Internet access at other's home | Education/learning via the Internet (Formal education or <br> training activities) |
| Internet access at work | Financial transaction via the Internet (Internet banking) |
| Internet access at school | Online club/community |
| anywhere Internet access by cell phone wireless <br> Internet | Managing homepage (Blog) |
| Getting information or data about job <br> opportunities via the Internet |  |
| Getting information or data from government <br> organizations via the Internet |  |

## Analysis Framework

A: Comparing proportions between two surveys (using confidence interval estimates of the true differences of proportions)


B: Comparing proportions in two-way tables between two surveys

C: Comparing logistic regression model between two surveys

## A: Comparing Proportions Between Two Surveys

Summary

- Most variables are significantly different between two surveys.
- Only four variables (i.e. sex, household ownership of cell phone, household ownership of landline and managing homepage (Blog)) are not significant.
- Thus, we have confirmed distinct differences of various variables between the two countries.
- Some of them with large differences are illustrated as follows.


## A: Comparing Proportions Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

- Selected variables with large differences

| Household Level Variables | Categories | USA | Korea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community type | Large cities | 31.5 | 46.7 |
|  | Others | 68.5 | 53.3 |
| Number of household members | 1 | 15.8 | 18.3 |
|  | 2 | 35.1 | 25.8 |
|  | 3 | 17.3 | 23.2 |
|  | 4 | 17.1 | 26.6 |
|  | 5 | 8.5 | 5.1 |
| Internet access via computer or <br> cell phone at home | 6 | 4.0 | 0.8 |

## A: Comparing Proportions Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

## - Selected variables with large differences (Cont.)

| Person Level Variables | Categories | USA | Korea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All or almost all calls received on a cell phone | Yes | 31.3 | 23.6 |
| Some received on a cell phone and some on a regular |  |  |  |
| home phone | Yes | 46.8 | 36.5 |
| Very few or none received on a cell phone | Yes | 21.9 | 39.9 |
| Sending/receiving text message using a cell phone | Yes | 66.3 | 99.3 |
| Sending/receiving photo or video using a cell phone | Yes | 52.2 | 27.7 |
| Internet access at other's home | Yes | 65.3 | 3.8 |
| Downloading or streaming video files via the Internet | Yes | 38.3 | 61.4 |
| Internet shopping \& selling (Purchasing goods or services) | Yes | 78.4 | 60.0 |

## B: Comparing Proportions in Two-Way Tables Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

- Example: Cell Phone Use by Education

| Korea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education | Cell Phone Use | Percent |
| Less then high <br> school | Yes | 17.5 |
| High school <br> graduate | Yes | 45.4 |
| College \& Graduate | Yes | 29.0 |
| Total | Yes | 91.9 |
| Primea |  |  |

* Pr>F: <0.0001

USA

| Education | Cell Phone Use | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less then high <br> school | Yes | 2.3 |
| High school <br> graduate | Yes | 33.4 |
| College \& Graduate | Yes | 50.7 |
| Total | Yes | 86.4 |
| * Pr>F: <0.0001 |  |  |

## B: Comparing Proportions in Two-Way Tables Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

- Example: Internet Use by Education

Korea

| Education | Internet Use | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less then high <br> school | Yes | 10.1 |
| High school <br> graduate | Yes | 43.1 |
| College \& Graduate | Yes | 29.1 |
| Total | Yes | 82.3 |
| *Pr>F: <o.0001 |  |  |

## USA

| Education | Internet Use | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less then high <br> school | Yes | 0.5 |
| High school <br> graduate | Yes | 26.4 |
| College \& Graduate | Yes | 51.5 |
| Total | Yes | 78.4 |
| * Pr>F: <0.0001 |  |  |

## B: Comparing Proportions in Two-Way Tables Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

- Example: Internet Use by Age

| Korea |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Internet Use | Percent |  |
| $18-29$ | Yes | 17.9 |  |
| $30-49$ | Yes | 46.1 |  |
| $50-64$ | Yes | 14.8 |  |
| 65 or higher | Yes | 3.5 |  |
| Total | Yes | 82.3 |  |

* $\operatorname{Pr}>\mathrm{F}:<0.0001$

| USA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Internet Use | Percent |
| $18-29$ | Yes | 19.8 |
| $30-49$ | Yes | 29.8 |
| $50-64$ | Yes | 20.6 |
| 65 or higher | Yes | 8.2 |
| Total | Yes | 78.4 |
| *Pr>F: <0.0001 |  |  |

## C: Comparing Logistic Regression Model Between Two Surveys

- Dependent variable: Three types of phone use
a: Very few or none received on a cell phone
b: All or almost calls received on a cell phone
c: Some received on a cell phone and some on a regular home phone

USA: $\log \left(\hat{\pi}_{b} / \hat{\pi}_{a}\right)=0.2716-0.1904 C_{\text {Large cities }}-0.513 E_{\angle H S}+0.1462 E_{H S}-1.2429 A_{\leq 29}-0.2537 A_{29 \text { AGGES49 }}$

$$
+0.3881 A_{49 \times \text { AGE } 65}-0.1497 M_{\text {Unmarried }}-0.1221 G_{\text {Male }}-0.1463 E S_{\text {Enployed }}
$$

$$
\text { Korea: } \begin{aligned}
\log \left(\hat{\pi_{b}} / \hat{\pi}_{a}\right)= & 0.6921-0.1962 C_{\text {Large cities }}+0.3947 E_{<H S}-0.0601 E_{H S}-1.3564 A_{\leq 29}-0.4828 A_{29<A G E \leq 49} \\
& +0.3872 A_{49<A G E<65}-0.5221 M_{\text {Unmaried }}-0.0643 G_{\text {Male }}-0.0953 E S_{\text {Employed }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## C: Comparing Logistic Regression Model Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

| Predictor | Category | Phone use | Odds Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | USA | Korea |
| Community Type | Large cities | a: very few or none received on a cell phone | 1.580 | 1.23 |
|  |  | b: all or almost calls received on a cell phone | 1.079 | 0.831 |
| Education | Less than high school | a | 1.168 | 0.306 |
|  |  | b | 0.485 | 0.635 |
|  | High school graduate | a | 0.998 | 0.765 |
|  |  | b | 0.801 | 1.006 |
| Age | 18-29 | a | 34.067 | 11.579 |
|  |  | b | 3.245 | 0.698 |
|  | 30-49 | a | 8.774 | 8.694 |
|  |  | b | 2.247 | 1.256 |
|  | 50-64 | a | 3.617 | 3.921 |
|  |  | b | 1.760 | 1.352 |
| Marriage | Unmarried | a | 1.061 | 1.449 |
|  |  | b | 0.786 | 0.51 |
| Sex | Male | a | 1.324 | 0.935 |
|  |  | b | 1.037 | 0.822 |
| Empoyment Status | Employed | a | 2.285 | 1.081 |
|  |  | b | 1.705 | 0.894 |

Reference categories : Community type (Others), Education (College\&Graduate), Age (65+), Marriage (Married), Sex (Female), Employment status (Unemployed)

## C: Comparing Logistic Regression Model Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

## - Dependent variable: Sending/receiving text message (Yes, No)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{USA}: \operatorname{logit}(\hat{\pi})= & 0.251-0.8326 C_{\text {Large cities }}+0.1203 E_{<H S}+2.1498 E_{H S}+0.5812 A_{\leq 29}-0.6776 A_{29<A G E \leq 49} \\
& +0.02 A_{49<A G E<65}-0.0775 M_{\text {Unmarried }}+0.2132 G_{\text {Male }}+0.2589 E S_{\text {Employed }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Korea : $\operatorname{logit}(\hat{\pi})=5.3476+0.0289 C_{\text {Large cities }}-1.1140 E_{<H S}+0.1021 E_{H S}+2.8150 A_{\leq 29}-0.0641 A_{29<A G E \leq 49}$

$$
-1.0347 A_{49<A G E<65}-0.6986 M_{\text {Unmarried }}+0.1879 G_{\text {Male }}+0.3046 E S_{\text {Employed }}
$$

| Predictor | Categories | Odds Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | USA | Korea |
| Community Type | Less than high school | 1.083 | 1.059 |
| Education | Hest | 0.213 | 0.119 |
|  | High school graduate | 0.553 | 0.403 |
| Age | $18-29$ | 66.894 | 92.867 |
|  | $30-49$ | 13.936 | 5.218 |
|  | $50-64$ | 3.958 | 1.977 |
| Marriage | Unmarried | 1.041 | 0.247 |
| Sex | Male | 0.856 | 1.456 |
| Empoyment Status | Employed | 1.532 | 1.839 |

## C: Comparing Logistic Regression Model Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

- Dependent variable: Internet access at home (Yes, No)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{USA}: \operatorname{logit}(\hat{\pi})= & 2.2693-0.0106 C_{\text {Large cities }}-0.8431 E_{<H S}-0.0166 E_{H S}-0.1542 A_{\leq 29}+0.1259 A_{29<A G E \leq 49} \\
& -0.0331 A_{49<A G E<65}-0.0593 M_{\text {Unmarried }}+0.1326 G_{M a l e}-0.0508 E S_{\text {Employed }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Korea : $\operatorname{logit}(\hat{\pi})=2.7593+0.2688 C_{\text {Large cities }}-1.0031 E_{<H S}+0.2620 E_{H S}+0.9709 A_{\leq 29}+0.2169 A_{29<A G E \leq 49}$ $-0.4958 A_{49<A G E<65}-0.2948 M_{\text {Unmarried }}+0.0006 G_{\text {Male }}-0.5168 E S_{\text {Employed }}$

| Predictor | Categories | USA | Odds Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.979 | Korea |
| Community Type | Less than high school | 0.182 | 1.712 |
| Education | High school graduate | 0.416 | 0.175 |
| Age | $18-29$ | 0.806 | 0.619 |
|  | $30-49$ | 1.067 | 5.275 |
|  | $50-64$ | 0.91 | 2.482 |
| Marriage | Unmarried | 0.888 | 1.217 |
| Sex | Male | 1.304 | 0.555 |
| Empoyment Status | Employed | 0.903 | 1.001 |

## C: Comparing Logistic Regression Model Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

## - Dependent variable: Internet access at work (Yes, No)

USA : $\operatorname{logit}(\hat{\pi})=-0.5407+0.1509 C_{\text {Large cities }}-0.3795 E_{<H S}-0.4457 E_{H S}+0.4652 A_{\leq 29}+0.3684 A_{29<A G E \leq 4 S}$

$$
+0.1722 A_{49<A G E<65}-0.1773 M_{\text {Unmarried }}+0.058 G_{\text {Male }}+0.9262 E S_{\text {Employed }}
$$

Korea : $\operatorname{logit}(\hat{\pi})=-9.9919+0.1836 C_{\text {Large cities }}-1.7860 E_{<H S}-0.0423 E_{H S}+1.8831 A_{\leq 29}-0.1669 A_{29<A G E \leq 49}$

$$
-0.4037 A_{49<A G E<65}+0.1081 M_{\text {Unmarried }}-0.3146 G_{\text {Male }}+11.7851 E S_{\text {Employed }}
$$

| Predictor | Categories | Odds Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | USA | Korea |
| Community Type | Large cities | 1.352 | 1.444 |
| Education | Less than high school | 0.3 | 0.027 |
|  | High school graduate | 0.281 | 0.154 |
| Age | $18-29$ | 4.354 | 24.426 |
|  | $30-49$ | 3.952 | 3.145 |
|  | $50-64$ | 3.248 | 2.481 |
| Marriage | Unmarried | 0.702 | 1.241 |
| Sex | Male | 1.123 | 0.533 |
| Empoyment Status | Employed | 6.376 | $>999.999$ |

## C: Comparing Logistic Regression Model Between Two Surveys (Cont.)

Summary

- The models have regression coefficients different between the two countries.
- Most variables have odds ratio different between the two countries.
- In addition to variables mentioned above, other variables (i.e., sending/receiving photo or video, downloading/streaming music or video, anywhere Internet access by cell phone wireless Internet) show similar results.


## Conclusions

- We have examined whether the demographic correlates of technology access and use often found in the USA hold for South Korea.
- We have identified substantial differences in cell phone use and Internet access (or activities) between the two countries.
- This may imply that methodological findings on modes of data collection from the USA could not be generalized to South Korea.


## Thank You.

## Contact at skyangel5240@dongguk.edu

