Sample Allocation under a Population Model and Stratified Inclusion Probability Proportional to Size Sampling

Sun-Woong Kim Steven G. Heeringa Peter W. Solenberger

Dongguk University & Survey Research Center, University of Michigan

Overview

- **Allocation of Stratified Random Samples**
- **Alternative Allocations under Stratified Random Sampling**
- **H** Sampling Strategies with Varying Probabilities
- **Rao's (1968) Allocation in Stratified** *IPPS* (*pPS*) **Sampling**
- Raised Questions
- Different Models Involving Intercept Term
- Sample Allocation for Minimizing Variance Expectation under Model I
- Sample Allocation for Minimizing Variance Expectation under Model II
- **Concluding Remarks**

Allocation of Stratified Random Samples

Many studies have been focused on allocations in stratified random sampling without replacement.

The following have been popular:

• Proportional Allocation:

Used when stratum-specific information is lacking on data variability

• Neyman (1934) Allocation:

Attempted to minimize the variance of an estimator if the cost per unit is the same in all strata

The Neyman allocation

- Requires the values of the standard deviations of the study variable of interest *y*
- Often infeasible in practice because the values are normally unknown.

Alternative Allocations under Simple Random Sampling

Before sample selection the sample designer often knows the variability of an auxiliary variable x thought to be correlated with the characteristic under study. Such an auxiliary variable is often referred to a measure of size.

✓ Dayal (1985)

A linear model with respect to the values of auxiliary characteristic linearly related to the study variable can be used in the allocation of the stratified random sample.

H Sampling Strategies with Varying Probabilities

- *PPS* sampling without replacement is generally more efficient than *PPS* sampling with replacement or stratified random sampling.
- A number of *PPS* sampling procedures without replacement have been developed to select samples of size greater than two, and most of these procedures are not easily applicable in practice.
- Due to low variance potential, *IPPS* (**p***PS*) sampling is an attractive option to survey samplers.

Rao's (1968) Allocation in Stratified *IPPS* (*pPS*) **Sampling**

• Consider the following population model without the intercept in sample allocation.

$$y_i = \boldsymbol{b} x_i + \boldsymbol{e}_i,$$

where
$$E_{\mathbf{x}}(y_i | x_i) = \mathbf{b} x_i$$
, $V_{\mathbf{x}}(y_i | x_i) = \mathbf{s}^2 x_i^g$, and $Cov_{\mathbf{x}}(y_i, y_j | x_i, x_j) = 0$

Here E_x denotes the model expectation over all the finite populations that can be drawn from the superpopulation.

• Used the following expected variance of the Horvitz-Thompson (1952) estimator under the model:

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}\left(Var(\hat{Y}_{HT})\right) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}_{hi}} - 1\right) \mathbf{s}^{2} x_{hi}^{g},$$

where $Var(\hat{Y}_{HT}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sum_{j>i}^{N_{h}} \left(\mathbf{p}_{hi}\mathbf{p}_{hj} - \mathbf{p}_{hij}\right) \left(\frac{y_{hi}}{\mathbf{p}_{hi}} - \frac{y_{hj}}{\mathbf{p}_{hj}}\right)^{2}$

Note that the expected variance indicates that any *IPPS* sampling design produces the same expected variance.

• Showed that allocation of the sample size to the strata which minimizes the above expected variance can be given as follows:

$$n_{h} = n \frac{\sqrt{X_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} x_{hi}^{g-1}}}{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sqrt{X_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} x_{hi}^{g-1}}}$$

where $X_{h} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} x_{hi}$



- **Q**1: It is customary to introduce an intercept term into the model. Considering the intercept term, what is a proper strategy for sample allocation in *IPPS* sampling designs?
- **Q**2: If we use Sampford's (1967) method, which is one of the popular *IPPS* sampling designs, what sample allocation strategy would be appropriate?

HDifferent Models Involving Intercept Term

Model I:

$$y_i = \boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b} x_i + \boldsymbol{e}_i,$$

where the terms in e_i are numerically negligible, that is, x explains y well.

Model II:

$$y_i = \boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b} x_i + \boldsymbol{e}_i,$$

where $E_{\mathbf{x}}(y_i | x_i) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} x_i$, $V_{\mathbf{x}}(y_i | x_i) = \mathbf{s}^2 x_i^g$, and $Cov_{\mathbf{x}}(y_i, y_j | x_i, x_j) = 0$

Sample Allocation for Minimizing Variance Expectation under Model I

• Using a different form of the variance of H-T estimator

$$Var(\hat{Y}_{HT}) = \overset{H}{\overset{h}{a}} \overset{N_{h}}{\overset{h}{a}} \frac{y_{hi}^{2}(1 - p_{hi})}{p_{hi}} + 2 \overset{H}{\overset{h}{a}} \overset{N_{h}}{\overset{h}{a}} \overset{N_{h}}{\overset{h}{a}} \frac{p_{hij}}{p_{hi}p_{hj}} y_{hi}y_{hj} - 2 \overset{H}{\overset{h}{a}} \overset{N_{h}}{\overset{h}{a}} \overset{N_{h}}{\overset{h}{a}} y_{hi}y_{hj}$$

Since the first and third terms are fixed under the model, the minimization of the model expectation of $Var(\hat{Y}_{HT})$ in *IPPS* sampling reduces to minimization of the following :

$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{A_h}{n_h^2} + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{B_h}{n_h},$$

where
$$A_{h} = 2X_{h}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sum_{j>i}^{N_{h}} \frac{a^{2} + ab(x_{hi} + x_{hj})}{x_{hi}x_{hj}} p_{hij}$$

 $B_{h} = X_{h} \left(-b^{2}X_{h} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \frac{(a + bx_{hi})^{2}}{x_{hi}} \right)$

Note. The A_h and the B_h are known values.

• Sample allocation strategy under Sampford (1967)'s *IPPS* sampling

Asok and Sukhatme (1976) developed the approximate expression for p_{hij} in Sampford's (1967) method.

Substituting the expression for \boldsymbol{p}_{hij} in $\sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{A_h}{n_h^2} + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{B_h}{n_h}$, we get

$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} C_h n_h + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{D_h}{n_h}$$

where
$$C_h = 2\sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \sum_{j>i}^{N_h} \left[\left(a^2 + ab(x_{hi} + x_{hj}) \right) \left((p_{hi} + p_{hj}) \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} p_{hi}^2 - p_{hi} p_{hj} - (\sum_{i=1}^{N_h} p_{hi}^2)^2 \right) \right]$$

 $p_{hi} = \frac{x_{hi}}{X_h}$

$$D_{h} = X_{h} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \frac{(\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b} x_{hi})^{2}}{x_{hi}} - \boldsymbol{b}^{2} X_{h} \right\}$$
$$-2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sum_{j>i}^{N_{h}} \left[\left\{ \boldsymbol{a}^{2} + \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{b} (x_{hi} + x_{hj}) \right\} \left\{ (2 p_{hi} p_{hj} - 3(p_{hi} + p_{hj}) \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{2} + 3(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{2})^{2} + 1 + (p_{hi} + p_{hj}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{2} + 2(p_{hi}^{2} + p_{hj}^{2}) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{3} \right\} \right]$$

Note. The C_h and the D_h are known values.

If the following constraints are added, the sample allocation problem to minimize $\sum_{h=1}^{H} C_h n_h + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{D_h}{n_h}$ can be solved by mathematical programming.

$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} n_{h} = n,$$

 $n_{h} \leq N_{h}, \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, H$
 $n_{h} \geq 2, \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, H$

Sample Allocation for Minimizing Variance Expectation under Model II

• Using the variance of H-T estimator

$$Var(\hat{Y}_{HT}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \sum_{j>i}^{N_h} (\boldsymbol{p}_{hi} \boldsymbol{p}_{hj} - \boldsymbol{p}_{hij}) \left(\frac{y_{hi}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{hi}} - \frac{y_{hj}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{hj}}\right)^2$$

The minimization of the model expectation of $Var(\hat{Y}_{HT})$ in *IPPS* sampling is equivalent to minimization of the following:

$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{A_{h}^{*}}{n_{h}^{2}} + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{B_{h}^{*}}{n_{h}}$$

where
$$A_{h}^{*} = \mathbf{a} X_{h}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sum_{j>i}^{N_{h}} (x_{hj}^{-1} - x_{hi}^{-1}) (\mathbf{a} x_{hi}^{-1} + \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{p}_{hij}$$

 $B_{h}^{*} = \mathbf{s}^{2} X_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} x_{hi}^{g-1}$

Note. The A_h^* and the B_h^* are known values.

• Sample allocation under Sampford's *IPPS* sampling

Using Asok and Sukhatme's (1976) formula for p_{hij} , we have

$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} C_{h}^{*} n_{h} + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{D_{h}^{*}}{n_{h}}$$

where

$$C_{h}^{*} = \mathbf{a} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sum_{j>i}^{N_{h}} \left[\left((x_{hi} - x_{hj})(\mathbf{a} x_{hi}^{-1} + \mathbf{b}) \right) \left((p_{hi} + p_{hj}) \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{2} - p_{hi} p_{hj} - (\sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{2})^{2} \right) \right]$$
$$D_{h}^{*} = B_{h}^{*} - \mathbf{a} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sum_{j>i}^{N_{h}} \left[\left((x_{hi} - x_{hj})(\mathbf{a} x_{hi}^{-1} + \mathbf{b}) \right) \left\{ (2 p_{hi} p_{hj} - 3(p_{hi} + p_{hj}) \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{2} + 3(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{2})^{2} + 1 + (p_{hi} + p_{hj}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{2} + 2(p_{hi}^{2} + p_{hj}^{2}) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} p_{hi}^{3} \right\} \right]$$

Note. The C_h^* and the D_h^* are known values.

The sample allocation problem under Sampford's *IPPS* sampling below can be easily solved by nonlinear programming algorithms.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } \sum_{h=1}^{H} C_{h}^{*} n_{h} + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{D_{h}^{*}}{n_{h}} \\ & \text{subject to } \sum_{h=1}^{H} n_{h} = n, \\ & n_{h} \leq N_{h}, \ h = 1, 2, \cdots, H \\ & n_{h} \geq 2, \ h = 1, 2, \cdots, H \end{aligned}$$

Concluding Remarks

- We have used more general population models relative to the model Rao (1968) used.
- We have proposed a quite straightforward approach for sample allocation in stratified *IPPS* sampling.
- Although it seems that the minimization problems are complicated, they can be easily solved by using software involving nonlinear programming.
- In addition to Sampford's *IPPS* sampling, the approach described here can be applied to a variety of sampling without replacement designs.

- The structure of minimization problem regarding the model expectation of the variance depends on the expression of the variance.
- Allocation under more complicated models and allocation under the situations where each stratum has a different model should be studied.